Summary of Audit Differences

Client: AB Stockholmshem (Koncernen) **Audit date:** 31-Dec-2013 **Currency:** Tkr

Nominal amount: 111 000 **SAD Consultation Limit:** 5 000

All identified audit differences above nominal amount			Analysis of audit differences Debit/(Credit)								
		Account	Assets Current	Assets Non-current	Liabilities Current	Liabilities Non-current	Income Effect of Correcting the Balance Sheet as of the End of the:				Other - Specify
No.	W/P ref.	(Audit differences are recorded as journal entries and description of the entry.)	Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Current period Debit/(Credit)	Non Taxable	Prior period Debit/(Credit)	Non Taxable	Debit/(Credit) (Note 2)
Recorded Audit Differences:											
1	O2	För höga överavskrivningar på invenatrier i Fastighetsnät- Korrigerats av bolaget				7 752	(7 752)				
Unrecorded Audit Differences:											
Errors:											
1	K	För lite avskrivet i projket 5784 Nyproduktion av 150 lgh i Annedal då inflytt skedde i februari men projketet ligger per bokslutet fortfarande som pågående.		(6 084)			6 084				
Judgmental Differences:											
		Balance sheet totals	0	(6 084)	0	0					0
		Financial statement amounts									
		Effect of unrecorded audit differences on F/S amounts	**0,00%**	**0,00%**	**0,00%**	**0,00%**					**0,00%**

Income effect of unrecorded audit differences (before tax)		6 084	0
Memo: Non-taxable items (marked 'X' above)		*0*	*0*
Less: Tax effect at current year marginal rate		0	0
Cumulative effect of unrecorded audit differences before turn-around effect	0,00%	6 084	0
Turn-around effect of prior-period unrecorded audit differences (after tax) — **All errors:**	0		
Judgmental differences:		0	
Cumulative effect of unrecorded audit differences, after turn-around effect	0,00%	6 084	
Current year net income			

EY Summary of Audit Differences

Conclusion:

We considered the following factors in evaluating whether or not the financial statements are materially misstated:

1.	Unrecorded audit differences, individually and in aggregate, are not material to:		Yes/No/ N/A	Comments (required if any "No" or "N/A")
	a.	The basis on which we determined materiality when that basis is other than pretax income.	Yes	
	b.	Pretax and after-tax income and the trend of earnings, *before* the turn-around effect of the prior-period unrecorded audit differences (cumulative differences at the end of the current year or iron curtain method.)	Yes	
	c.	Pretax and after-tax income and the trend of earnings, *after* the turn-around effect of the prior-period unrecorded audit differences (cumulative differences after consideration of the turn-around effects of prior year differences or rollover method.)	Yes	
	d.	Balance sheet amounts, including working capital and shareholders' equity.	Yes	
	e.	Segment disclosures, significant disclosures or to any individual line items, subtotals, or totals in the financial statements, including, for listed entities, interim financial statements and	Yes	
	f.	Interim results previously reported for the fiscal year (for the listed entities).	N/A	
2.	Unrecorded audit differences, individually and in aggregate, do not affect the compliance with any debt covenant requirements in the current year.		Yes	
3.	Our review of recorded and unrecorded audit differences did not indicate material weaknesses in internal control requiring communication to the audit committee. (Note 3)		Yes	
4.	Our review of the recorded and unrecorded audit differences did not indicate the cause being a fraud or illegal act.		Yes	
5.	We considered our tolerable error and testing scopes and the risk of undetected differences based on the size and nature of recorded and unrecorded audit differences and no change in our tolerable error or testing scopes is necessary.		Yes	

For any 'No' responses above, indicate the steps to be taken (e.g., modify audit opinion, reassess audit scope, reassess fraud risk, reassess client continuance).

A 'Yes' response implies the total unrecorded audit differences are not material to the question being asked.

Steps to be taken:

Other comments:

The above unrecorded audit differences do not cause the financial statements, including significant disclosures to be materially misstated. (Note 4)

We consulted with the Sub-Area Professional Practice Director as necessary to comply with Procedure 12.4f of EY GAM. (Note 5)

Prepared by: Eric Wretström

Date: 30-Jan-2014

Reviewed by

Partner: Björn Ohlsson

Date: 31-Jan-2014

Independent Reviewer:

Date:

(Note 1) Where appropriate for the client's circumstances, we may not need to distinguish the effect on assets and liabilities between current and non-current (e.g., a non-classified balance sheet).

(Note 2) The effect of an audit difference on other financial measures such as equity, cash flows, or significant disclosures may be important, and where significant to the audit would be documented here.

(Note 3) When reporting on Internal Controls in accordance with S404 of SOA this consideration should read "The effect of recorded and unrecorded audit differences has been considered in our evaluation of internal controls." In other circumstances, this consideration should read "Our review of recorded and unrecorded audit differences did not indicate material weaknesses in internal control requiring communication to the audit committee."

(Note 4) Where the audit differences remain unrecorded by management and cause the financial statements to be materially misstated the conclusion statement needs to be changed to reflect the discussion on qualification of our opinion.

(Note 5) Consultation is required, when the gross or net unrecorded differences in the aggregate, or any individual gross unrecorded difference, exceeds five percent of pretax income when pretax income is the basis we use for establishing planning materiality, or when such differences exceed an amount that represents the low end of the range of acceptable values for planning materiality when we use other bases for establishing planning materiality (e.g., one-half of one percent of sales, one percent of gross margin, one percent of equity).

Reclassification Differences Schedule

Client: AB Stockholmshem (Koncernen) **Audit date:** 31-Dec-2013 **Currency:** Tkr

Nominal amount: 111 000 **SAD Consultation Limit:** 5 000

Planning Materiality:

All identified reclassification audit differences above the nominal amount are posted to this schedule. We determine which of these reclassification adjustments are posted to the SAD after considering qualitative and quantitative factors.

SAD Ref.	No.	W/P ref.	Account (Reclassification differences are recorded as journal entries and description of the entry.)	Assets Current Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Assets Non-current Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Liabilities Current Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Liabilities Non-current Debit/(Credit) (Note 1)	Income Statement Debit/(Credit)	Other - Specify Debit/(Credit) (Note 2)	Include Reclass-ification Differences on SAD (see procedure 12.4) (Note 3)
	Unrecorded Reclassification Audit Differences									
	1		Klassficeringsfel avseende fordran på försäkringsbolaget ST:Erik för branden i Skarpnäck som per boklutet ligger på pågående projket borde klassas om som fordran.		(10 000)					
			Klassficeringsfel avseende fordran på försäkringsbolaget ST:Erik för branden i Skarpnäck som per boklutet ligger på pågående projket borde klassas om som fordran.		10 000					
		Totals		0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Note 1) Where appropriate for the client's circumstances, we may not need to distinguish the effect on assets and liabilities between current and non-current (e.g., a non-classified balance sheet).

(Note 2) The effect of an audit difference on other financial measures such as equity, cash flows, or significant disclosures may be important, and where significant to the audit would be documented here.