## Public Consultation on the EU environment policy priorities for 2020: Towards a 7th EU Environment Action Programme

The purpose of this consultation is to collect the views of all stakeholders, at EU and national level, and the public at large on the environment policy priorities up to 2020. Informed opinions are sought on the priority areas to be addressed and on the most effective tools for the EU to employ in addressing the challenges described in this consultation document.

The European Commission has consulted stakeholders recently on a number of themes addressed in the background document of this consultation, including resource efficiency, 2050 low-carbon economy, biodiversity, water, air and sustainable consumption and production. Although some overlap between these various consultations is inevitable, in order to avoid duplication the questions set out in the questionnaire are deliberately broad and strategic in nature.

Please read carefully the background document before filling in the questionnaire. Only one reply per organisation is accepted.

The questionnaire needs to be filled in on-line in one single session. This means that the respondent cannot save incomplete questionnaires. We strongly recommend to first save the questionnaire text, in order to examine the questions and elaborate the replies before starting an on-line session. The full questionnaire can be seen here.

Please note that after 90 minutes of inactivity the session will automatically expire and the replies will be lost.

Most questions adopt a "multiple choice" approach. In most cases, the respondent is requested to express his/her opinion on a graduated scale concerning levels of agreements, importance or expected effectiveness of policy options. In these cases, the respondent is invited to fill in all the options that a question proposes.

The questionnaire also includes some open questions, for which a limit in length (number of characters) is foreseen.

Enjoy the questionnaire and thank you for your input.

Questions marked with an asterisk \* require an answer to be given.

## 1. Identification

Please answer the following questions about your profile.

1.1. Are you answering as an individual or on behalf of an organisation or institution? $^\star$	
© I am answering as an individual	

🎉 I am answering on behalf of an organisation or institution (business, NGO, public authority,...)

TT.	lene Lindman	
ne	iene Lindman	
az ker andra kez zizilez kez kelendek elektrik elektrik elektrik elektrik elektrik elektrik elektrik elektrik e		
		aur ann ag ann ag ann ann ann ann ann ann a
1.3. Plo	ease select the option which best describes your o	organisation.
O compa	ny/business association	
🛡 trade i	union	
O law fire	m/consultancy	
O nation	al public authority	
💢 region	al/local public authority	
O interna	ational organisation	
⊙ non-ge	overnmental organisation/association of NGOs	
O think-t	ank	
© acade	mic organisation/association of academic organisations	
	entative of religions, churches and communities of conviction	
© repres		
-	ype of organisation	32625432644435644675626446762664676666676666666666666
O other t		
O other t		Such Mark State (As State(
O other t		Such Sub-Stripted And Charact y constraints and many and many and performance and manuscrimination and constraints and manuscrimination and constraints and manuscrimination and constraints are constraints and constraints and constraints are constraints and constraints and constraints and constraints are constraints and constraints and constraints are constraints and constraints and constraints are constraints a
O other t		ikan kalangan kangan kangan ang mang mang mang mang mang mang
O other f		Sacro Mario Cara Andrews (Sacro Sacro Sacro Andrews An

helene.lindman@stock	holm.se	
រៀ 1.6. Are you answering	g on behalf of an EU-wide orga	nisation? $^*$
Yes	No No	unkan pro-suure saan kun makan makan makan makan makan makan kan pengangan makan makan makan makan makan sa bi
1.7. Please select you	r country from the list below*	индоверное на умещение на начиние и инто это на точка быто проведения на начина на начина на начина на начина н На начина на начина н
O Austria	© Ireland	O Slovakia
© Belgium	© Italy	© Spain
© Bulgaria	O Latvia	O United Kingdom
© Cyprus	O Lithuania	○ Croatia
Czech Republic	C Luxembourg	O Iceland
© Denmark	O Malta	Montenegro
© Estonia	Netherlands	<ul> <li>Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia</li> </ul>
© Finland	O Poland	O Serbia
	O Portugal	O Turkey
	O Romania	Other
© Greece	✓ Sweden	
O Hungary	O Slovenia	
© Hungary	Slovenia	e published on the Commission's
• • •		if you wish your contribution to be
•		
Make this contribution an X You can publish this cont	•	

2.1 The final assessment of the 6th E							
programme. In your view, how could a Please rank the following statements from 1-6 (*)						nt policy	<b>.</b>
a: 1 b: 2 c: 3 d: 4 e: 5 f: 6							
g: No opinion	a	b	С	d	e	f	g
2.1.1. By developing a strategic agenda for the environment, with clear priorities and objectives.	0	0	0	6	Ø	×	0
2.1.2. By ensuring full implementation of agreed policies and legislation	O	O	0	O	0	K	0
2.1.3. By providing a coherent framework and furthering the integration of environmental considerations into other policies	0	0	O	0	Ø	×	Ø
2.1.4. By ensuring a joint commitment from EU institutions and Member States to a common agenda	O	0	0	0	0	À	O
2.1.5. By stimulating public debate on environmental priorities and active participation of citizens, local authorities and businesses	<b>S</b> ()	0	Ø	Ķ	O	0	Ø

2.1.6. By providing the long term certainty and a conducive framework for the private sector to invest in resource efficiency, low-carbon technology and eco-innovation	0	O	0	•	0	×	0
2.1.7. By defining a detailed list of actions to be implemented by 2020	6	0	O	0	0	Ķ	Õ
2.1.8. By establishing environmental priority objectives for inclusion in the monitoring process of the EU semester *	Ø	0	Ø	Ø	0	×	O
2.1.9. A new EAP has no added value	×	Ø	0	0	0	O	Ø

2.2. The final assessment of the 6 <sup>th</sup> EAP has shown that a lo	ong term vision for Europe's
environment would help in guiding the definition of priority	y objectives to be achieved by
2020. Bearing in mind the long term visions already set ou	t in the Resource Efficiency
Roadmap[1], the 2050 Low-Carbon Roadmap[2] and the EU	J Biodiversity Strategy to 2020
[3], are there any elements you feel are missing?	

[1] By 2050 the EU's economy has grown in a way that respects resource constraints and planetary boundaries, thus contributing to global economic transformation. Our economy is competitive, inclusive and provides a high standard of living with much lower environmental impacts. All resources are sustainably managed, from raw materials to energy, water, air, land and soil. Climate change milestones have been reached, while biodiversity and the ecosystem services it underpins have been protected, valued and substantially restored.

[2] By 2050, the EU should cut its domestic greenhouse gas emissions by 80% compared to 1990 and all the sectors of the economy - power sector, industry, transport, agriculture, residential and tertiary should contribute to a varying degree and pace.

[3] By 2050, European Union biodiversity and the ecosystem services it provides — its natural capital — are protected, valued and appropriately restored for biodiversity's intrinsic value and for their essential contribution to human wellbeing and economic prosperity, and so that catastrophic changes caused by the loss of biodiversity are avoided.

(maximum 500 characters)

- 2.2 Almost all environmental challenges are also city challenges, including not only air quality, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and noise, but also water of good quality, hazardous chemicals in goods and protection of nature and citizens access to recreation.
- 2.2 The 7th EAP should prioritize climat change mitigation and adaptation. Environment induced health aspects should play a central role in the 7EAP, and must prioritize aspects such as air quality, noise pollution and hazardous chemicals in society, especially those chemicals that children are exposed to.

2.3 In your view	, how i	mportant	is it fo	or the	EU to	address	the	following	environi	nenta
challenges?										

а	b	С	d	е
©	×	0	0	0
×	0	Ø	0	0
	6	• ×	• × •	

2.3.3. Resource over-consumption, potential scarcity and price volatility $^{\star}$	0	×	O	0	0
2.3.4. Pollution from hazardous chemicals *	X	Ø	Ó	0	O
2.3.5. Insufficient water quantity *	Ö	Ø	O	0	Æ.
2.3.6. Water pollution *	O	×	0	0	O
2.3.7. Outdoor air pollution*	O	×	0	0	0
2.3.8. Indoor air pollution *	×	Ø	0	0	O
2.3.9. Biodiversity loss and degradation of ecosystem services	×	0	O	0	Ø
2.3.10. Deforestation	0	O	×	O	Ó
2.3.11. Climate change mitigation/greenhouse gas reductions	×	0	0	0	0
2.3.12. Adaptation to the impacts of climate change	0	×	O	O	0
2.3.13, Marine pollution *	X	0	0	0	0
2.3.14. Marine resource exploitation	0	×	0	O	0
2.3.15. Competing uses of land leading to environmental degradation $^{\star}$	0	0	0	0	×
2.3.16. Soil degradation and pollution *	Õ	O	×	Ø	Ø
2.3.17. Generation and proliferation of waste	0	×	0	O	0
2.3.18. Environmental challenges linked to food $^{\!$	×	O	O	0	0

2.3.19. Environmental challenges linked to housing	×	0	Ø	0	0			
2.3.20. Environmental challenges linked to mobility	×	<b>©</b>	Õ	0	0			
2.3.21. Environmental challenges linked to the urban environment $^{*}$	×	0	0	0	©			
2.3.22. Environmental challenges linked to energy production and use	×	O	0	6	O			
2.3.23. Noise *	×	0	O	0	O			
2.3.24. Major industrial accidents	0	0		C)	<b>X</b>			
2.4 Which of the following best describes what needs to be done as a priority to address each of these challenges?  a: Fill policy gaps (e.g. through new policy/legislation) b: Improve implementation of existing policy and legislation c: More use of Market-based Instruments (cap and trade, taxation, subsidies, incentives) d: Strengthen mainstreaming of environmental considerations in other policies e: No need for further action								
f: No opinion								

b

**(**)

Õ

X

0

()

()

0

**(**\*)

2.4.1. Unsustainable consumption patterns \*

2.4.2. Unsustainable production patterns \*

2.4.3. Resource overconsumption, potential

2.4.4. Pollution from hazardous chemicals\*

scarcity and price volatility\*

d

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

()

**(**)

**(**)

0

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

2.4.5. Insufficient water quantity *	0	0	0	Ø	0	Ø
2.4.6. Water pollution *	0	×	0	O	0	0
2.4.7. Outdoor air pollution *	0	×	Ø	0	0	O
2,4.8. Indoor air pollution *	×	0	0	O	0	O
2.4.9. Biodiversity loss and degradation of ecosystem services	0	×	O	0	0	0
2.4.10. Deforestation	O	Ø	O	0	0	×
2.4.11. Climate change mitigation/greenhouse gas reductions	0	0	×	O	O	Ø
2.4.12. Adaptation to the impacts of climate $^{*}$ change	Ø	0	0	×	O	Ø
2.4.13. Marine pollution *	Õ	×	0	Ö	0	0
2.4.14. Marine resource exploitation *	0	O	×	0	Ø	O
2.4.15. Competing uses of land leading to environmental degradation	O	0	Ō	0	0	×
2.4.16. Soil degradation and pollution *	0	×	0	Ø	0	0
2.4.17. Generation of proliferation of waste	0	O	×	O	O	O
2.4.18. Environmental challenges linked to food *	O	O	×	0	0	O
2.4.19. Environmental challenges linkded to housing	O	O	X	0	0	0

2.4.20. Environmental challenges linked to mobility *	0	0	×	O	0	Ø
2.4.21. Environmental challenges linked to the urban environment	0	6	×	0	Õ	Ø
2.4.22. Environmental challenges linked to energy production and use $^{\star}$	0	0	×	0	0	O
2.4.23. Noise *	Ø	×	Ø	Ø	0	0
2.4.24. Major industrial accidents*	STATE OF THE STATE	in the second se	O www.www.compress.commenceresis.com/	O communicación de servicio por municipal de servicio	O and the second of the second	O manada de descripción de la constante de la

normalistico del comencio del communicación de seguina de desgreco de comencio de consequina de despressiva de descripción de comencio de consequina de descripción de consequina de descripción de consequina de descripción de consequina de consequina de descripción de consequina de			antont po	tantial for	improving	the
2.5 In your view, which of the following				ieillai ioi	mbionic	, are
quality of the environment? (1 least po	tential - 5 (	greatest p	otential)			
a: 1						
b: 2						
c: 3						
d: 4						
e: 5						
f: No opinion						
	а	b	С	d	е	f
2.5.1. Agriculture and rural development policy *	Õ	0	0	0	×	0
2.5.2. Climate change policy *	0	Ø	Ø	0	×	Ø
2.5.3. Competition policy (state aid) *	0	Ø	Ø	0	0	X
2.5.4. Consumers and health policy*	0	0	0	Ø	0	×
2.5.5. Development cooperation policy *	0	0	0	Õ	0	×
2.5.6. Economic and financial policy (including taxation)*	0	O	Ø	0	×	0

2.5.7. Education and culture policy *	0	O	O	0	0	×
2.5.8. Employment policy	0	0	0	0	Ø	X
2.5.9. Energy policy*	0	0	Ø	0	×	0
2.5.10. Enterprise and SMEs policy	0	0	Ō	O	×	0
2.5.11. External relations policy *	O	Õ	0	O	0	×
2.5.12. Maritime and fisheries policy	0	Ø	0	Ø	×	O
2.5.13. Products/services standards*	Ø	0	Ø	O	×	Ø
2.5.14. Public procurement policy*	0	Ø	0	Ø	×	0
2.5.15. Regional policy	Ø	O	©	0	0	X
2.5.16. Research and innovation policy*	0	0	0	0	×	0
2.5.17. Trade policy *	0	0	Ō	0	×	O
2.5.18. Transport policy *	0	O	Õ	Ó	×	Ö
2.5.19. Other	O sessen en e	O	O	O MANAGEMENTAL AND	(i)	<u> </u>

2.6. If other, please specify (max 100 characters) (maximum 100 characters)	
zanosta	<u> </u>
	:
THE STATE OF THE S	i :
	:
	•
	•
AND	GONNER BEET VIN DE STANDER BEET VER DE STANDE S

2.7 In your view, which of the following p meeting our climate objectives? (1 least						
a: 1 b: 2 c: 3 d: 4 e: 5						
f: No opinion						
	a	b	С	d	е	f
2.7.1. Agriculture and rural development policy *	O	O	×	O	O	Ø
2.7.2. Competition policy (state aid) *	0	Õ	×	0	0	0
2.7.3. Consumers and health policy	0	0	×	0	0	Ø
2.7.4. Development cooperation policy *	0	0	0	K	0	0
2.7.5. Economic and financial policy (including taxation) *	Ø	0	O	×	O	0
2.7.6. Education and culture policy*	0	×	O	0	0	Õ
2.7.7. Employment policy*	0	×	0	0	0	0
2.7.8. Energy policy *	O	<b>©</b>	0	0	×	0
2.7.9. Enterprise and SMEs policy*	Ö	0	0	×	0	O
2.7.10. Environmental policy *	0	Ø	0	0	×	Ø
2.7.11. External relations policy *	O	×	Ō	0	O	0
2.7.12. Maritime and fisheries policy *	0	×	0	0	0	0

2.7.13. Products/services standards *	0	0	O	X	0	0
2.7.14. Public procurement policy*	0	O	0	0	×	0
2.7.15. Regional policy *	Ø	0	Ø	×	0	O
2.7.16. Research and innovation policy*	0	0	0	×	0	0
2.7.17. Trade policy *	0	0	Ø	Ø	K	0
2.7.18. Transport policy	0	0	O	Ø	X	0
2.7.19. Other	0	0	0	0	0	X

2.8. If other, please specify (maximum 100 characters) (maximum 100 characters)	
	1
	! !
	!
	1
	į l
	!
	1
	i
	!
	1
	i
	i
	4.4
	genyapan mananakan kelebah berangan menandakan bi

## 3. New integrated approaches to improve competitiveness and enhance ecological and societal resilience

Food, housing and transport are responsible for more than two-thirds of major worldwide life-cycle environmental pressures from consumption in Europe. (SOER 2010)

- 3.1. In your view, what are the 3 most important initiatives that should be taken at EU level to reduce the environmental impact of food production and consumption? (maximum 800 characters):

  \* (maximum 800 characters)
  - Decrease meat consumption
  - Decrease transport distance
  - Decrease food waist
  - Fossil fuels free production
  - Procurement
- 3.2. In your view, what are the 3 most important initiatives that should be taken at EU level to reduce the environmental impact of housing? (maximum 800 characters)
  - Energy conservation in existing buildings
  - Energy conservation for new development
  - Avoiding hazardous building materials
- 3.3. In your view, what are the 3 most important initiatives that should be taken at EU level to reduce the environmental impact of mobility? (maximum 800 characters)
  - Reduce need for physical transport through telecommunication
  - Stimulate cycling and public transport
  - Strengthen the role of biofuels versus fossil fuels through incentives

a: Very important b: Important c: Somewhat important d: Not at all important					
e: No opinion	a	b	С	d	е
3.4.1. Desertification *	O	0	0	Ø	×
3.4.2. Deforestation *	0	0	Ø	Ó	×
3.4.3. Conversion of agricultural land to urban land	0	0	0	0	Ŕ
3.4.4. Conversion of grassland to cropland	0	0	0	0	Ŕ
3.4.5. Conversion of land for energy crop	0	0	O	O	K
3.4.6. Other land use changes *	0	0	0	O	X
3.4.7. Land fragmentation *	0	0	0	O	X

3.5 In your view, how important are the	following addit	ional measure	es to the Soil T	hematic
Strategy for reducing, directly or indirec	tly, soil degrada	ation?		
	Very important	Somewhat Important	Not at all important	No opinion
3.5.1. Reinforce existing legislation to improve the application of the 'polluter pays' principle to address soil degradation *	Ø	0	0	×
3.5.2. Establish a soil monitoring scheme to measure and/or evaluate progress towards less soil degradation	0	O	•	Ķ
3.5.3. Set binding targets to reduce soil degradation *	0	0	0	×
3.5.4. Provide platforms for exchanging best practice *	0	Ø	Õ	×
3.5.5. Promote greater public awareness of the role played by soil as a resource in the environment and the economy	0	Ø	Ø	×

3.6 Scientific evidence points to a certain	number	of environme	ent-related i	mpacts on I	numan
health. In your view, how well does EU po	olicy cur	rently address	s the follow	ing environr	nent &
health related challenges?					
a: Very well b: Well				. •	
c: Somewhat well					
d: Not well at all					
e: No opinion					
	а	b	С	d	е
3.6.1. Endocrine disruptors *	O	0	0	×	O
3,6.2. Nanomaterials *	0	0	O	×	0
3.6.3. Combined effects of chemicals	Ø	0	0	×	O
3.6.4. Harmful chemicals in products	Ø	0	×	0	Ø
3.6.5. Outdoor air pollution *	×	O	0	0	Ø
3.6.6. Indoor air pollution *	O	O	Ø	×	O
3.6.7. Water pollution *	×	0	0	0	Ø
3.6.8. Noise *	0	0	×	0	0
3.6.9. Climate change	0	0	Ø	0	0
3.6.10. Nuclear radiation *	0	0	Ø	0	X Z
3.6.11. Other	Q)	C)	O control proposition and control cont	O	L commentario antico de la commencia de la com

7. If other, please specify (maximum 100 characters) (maximum	100 characters)	
		-
		:
	AAN 1940-25 મુખી ભૂમો તે કે લોકા લાગ કરવા માટે પણ પૂર્વ પૂર્વકર્તા સાથે કે લોકા લાગ માટે પણ આપણા પણ અને લાગ છે 	ekisteratura, 21 perine-adalogist popugatana emperiti 3.50 major į diepogeneetisti eta da da da da da da da da
	ttuesti va and alaan plaana	to live what is
3.8 In determining whether cities are sustainable, a		to live, what is
mportant for you? The list reflects the 12 criteria Identified for the Euro	opean Green Capital Award	
a: Very important		
a: Very important b: Important		
c: Somewhat important		* .
d: Not at all important		
e: No opinion		

	а	þ	С	d	е
3.8.1. Local contribution to global climate change	×	Ø	0	Ø	Ø
3.8.2. Local transport	×	<b>©</b>	0	0	O
3.8.3. Green urban areas incorporating sustainable land use	×	0	O	0	O
3.8.4. Nature and biodiversity*	×	0	0	0	0
3.8.5. Quality of local ambient air	×	0	Ø	0	0
3.8.6. Noise pollution*	×	0	O	Ø	O
3.8.7. Waste production and management *	Ø	×	0	0	Ø
3.8.8. Water consumption *	0	0	O	×	Ø
3.8.9. Waste water treatment *	×	0	O	0	0
3.8.10. Eco innovation and sustainable employment	Ø	×	0	0	Ō
3.8.11. Environmental management of the local authority	×	0	Ø	Ø	O
3.8.12. Energy performance *	×	0	0	0	0

## 4. Making Change Happen

aximum 800 chara	clers)				
	igly advocate the use on. They are transpos nation.	_			
Despending of the little for the section of the section of the little for the l	e of 1-5 (1=least, 5=	most), how would y	ou rate the usefuln	ess of increasing t	he nd h
formation b	eing actively dissem ent legislation is bei				

4.1. In your view, does the type of EU climate and environment legislation (Regulations, which

have direct effect, vs. Directives, which need to be transposed into national law) make a

a: Very significant b: Significant c: Somewhat significant d: Not significant e: No opinion					
·	а	b	С	d	е
4.3.1. More extensive criteria on how Member States should undertake inspections and surveillance	0	×	0	<b>©</b>	Ō
4.3.2. Complementing national inspections and surveillance with enhanced capacity at EU level to ensure consistency and effectiveness of implementation *	Ø	0	0	×	<b>©</b>
4.3.3. Criteria on complaint-handling systems in each Member State or similar alternative dispute resolution mechanisms	O	0	×	Ó	0
4.3.4. Legislation to ensure better access to national courts	0	0	Ø	×	0
4.3.5. Support for experts' networks, e.g. inspectors, prosecutors and judges to share best practice and develop projects of common interest *	×	0	Ø	0	•
4.3.6. Implementation plans that target resources at solving environmental problems	×	•	0		C)
1.4. Science provides the evidence-base climate and environment policy. On a sc	underpin	ning the de	velopment a	nd impleme	ntation of

knowledge base for environment policy?					
<ul><li>a: Very high potential</li><li>b: High potential</li><li>c: Some potential</li><li>d: Low potential</li><li>e: No opinion</li></ul>					
e. No opignori	a	b	С	d	e
4.5.1. Give to citizens a greater role in monitoring environmental data (e.g. through new media tools such as 'eye on earth')	0	0	×	0	C
4.5.2. Improve data collection on and analysis of compliance and enforcement within each Member State	O	×	O	0	C
4.5.3. Improve the science-policy interface and ensure that scientific environmental data are accessible and user-friendly	×	Ø	O	0	C
4.5.4. Fill existing research gaps (e.g. ecological thresholds, environmental tipping points, impacts of new technologies, etc.)	×	0	O	O	C
4.5.5. Improve knowledge on consumers' perceptions, values and their actual behaviour*	O	×	0	O	C
4.5.6. Improve EUs international research cooperation on global environmental issues	0	X	0	O	C
(i.e. blodiversity, climate change) ^					

4.6. If other, please specify (max 100 characters) (maximum 100 characters)

7 EAP should stimulate financial instruments that provide more information /research regarding health-aspects regarding air quality and hazardous chemicals in goods.

	ionowing in	lialives to be	in encoura	ging
а	b	c	d	е
×	0	0	O	0
0	×	Õ	0	Ø
Ø	O	×	0	O
Ø	0	×	0	Ø
0	×	Ø	0	Õ
O	×	O	0	Õ
0	0	Ø	0	Ŕ
	% 0 0			

1.8 How significant do you consider each	of the fo	ollowing actio	ns to be for	strengthen	ing the	
external dimension of EU environment po						
a: Very significant b: Significant c: Somewhat significant d: Not at all significant e: No opinion						
c. No opinion	a	b	С	d	е	
4.8.1. Strengthening International  Environmental Governance	0	×	O	Ó	0	
4.8.2. Strengthening the EU leadership in Multilateral Environmental Agreements *	0	×	O	O	0	
4.8.3. Leading by example - EU leadership through setting unilateral targets and commitments	O	×	O	Ø	Ø	
4.8.4. Ensuring that the EU consistently speaks with one voice in international fora	0	0	Õ	×	0	
4.8.5. Enhancing the integration of environment in the EU's external policies	0	0	×	0	Ø	
4.8.6. Promoting EU environmental standards abroad *	0	×	0	Õ	O	
4.8.7. Engaging bilaterally with key partners to address global environmental challenges	0	O	×	Õ	0	
4.8.8. Building alliances with other countries in line with our environmental objectives $^{\star}$	0	×	O	Ø	0	
4.8.9. Enhancing the effectiveness of environment and climate-related EU development cooperation assistance	0	×	Ø	Õ	O	
4.8.10. Other	O	0		Company of a special confidence of the company of t	O	
and the state of t	######################################	e destinate de l'astronour estamant de la service de la siste de la sistema de la comme de la comme de la comme				

4.9. If other, please specify (maximum 100 characters) (maximum 100 characters)	
tion in outlook proving (	
	!
	1
	•
	i
	<b>3</b>
	ļ.
Conference and the conference an	

4.10. If you have further comments on this consultation or suggestions please write them in the box below (optional) (max 1000 characters) (maximum 1000 characters)

7 EAP must focus more on source measures. This is not only the best way of preventing environmental damage in the first place. For the most part source measures are also cost efficient.

Further, the 7th EAP should support efficient implementing of EU-regulations and include operational and concrete, as well as effective methods for follow-up.

7 EAP should make proposals for more efficient multi-level environmental governance that directly involves cities. EU environmental legislation should be clear but also leave cities enough space to act. We should be able to adapt action to the specific situation, including through stricter environmental standards than foreseen at the national or EU level if necessary.

7 EAP should stimulate financial instruments that:

- Supports research and innovative solutions on concrete environmental problems in a city.
- Research on urban environmental issues should be result-oriented and connected to demonstration projects that make it possible to apply new solutions at a larger scale, and that builds knowledge among the partners during the project.

ground documents

7EAP background consultation document in EN: http://www.ec.europa.eu/environment/consultations/7eap\_en.htm