

Styrgruppen

ICLD har nu fattat beslut i innevarande ansökningsomgång. Er ansökan **Steering group 2016-10-03 12:38:31, Tyresö kommun – Theewaterskloof Municipality, South Africa, dnr 2016-0061**, ärendetyp **Steering group**, kommer ej att beviljas medel i denna ansökningsomgång.

Beslutsmotivering: *Since the related projects are suggested to be rejected so is the steering group*

Feedback: *Thank you for reapplying in this round of applications and for a well written Steering Group application where the responsibilities of the both partners are clearly elaborated. However, the central grounds for rejection from the previous application remains. This is also the case in the current applications. Please contact the ICLD if you would like to discuss this further.*

Waste Management (Renhållning/SFI)

ICLD har nu fattat beslut i innevarande ansökningsomgång. Er ansökan **Waste management 2016-10-03 16:26:33, Tyresö kommun – Theewaterskloof Municipality, South Africa, dnr 2016-0060**, ärendetyp **Project**, kommer ej att beviljas medel i denna ansökningsomgång.

Beslutsmotivering: *The ICLD considers the application to be interesting with a well elaborated stakeholder analysis and a sufficient problem analysis. The application puts great emphasis on the thematic priority environment/climate, which is notable. However, the problem is not deemed to be relevant for the program, it focuses to strongly on a lack of resources and infrastructure for the partner rather than a lack of capacity at the organizational level. The application fails to relate to the programmes core areas, it links only briefly to the core area equity/inclusion and not in a consequent manner.*

Feedback: *Thank you for reapplying in this round of applications with a more elaborated application. However, the central grounds for rejection from the previous application remains. This is also the case in the current application. The ICLD considers the application to be interesting with a well elaborated stakeholder analysis, the implementers as well as target groups are well selected and motivated. The application also mentions experts who probably can be of good assistance with their experiences and knowledge, especially TEDCOR. The main problem is clearly defined with a substandard waste management in the municipality. However, the application fails to connect the main problem to the programmes' core areas. Here you must explain how the project contributes to the improvement of democracy with the use and integration of one or more of the programmes' core areas. This is imperative for the participation in the programme. There are embryos of these connections in the application that could be developed further. For example, there is a link to equality/fairness, but this is described only vaguely and the application mentions that TWKs inability to deliver services is eroding citizens' trust in the municipality. The project address challenges at institutional level which very well could improve the democratic setting for individuals in the municipality. Service delivery in terms of waste management is of course important to the partners but cannot be the project objective. The application puts the greatest emphasis on the thematic priority environment/climate which is obvious and is deemed positive. How the project will consider women's and men's different needs and how aspects of human rights are described in the application could be further developed. The*

objectives are connected to the problem analysis of the partner. However, they are very weak or non-existent at the Swedish part. The project objective is to some extent the partnerships other application (client care) project objectives. It is important that you design your projects so that they can be assessed separately and is not dependent on one and other. The indicators are not sufficiently linked to the objectives or are poorly formulated indicators. Project objective indicators do not indicate achievement but has rather focus on technical solutions (improved infrastructure), this is not, as mentioned before, relevant to the programme. The application need to get a greater stringency between these parts of the project. Use our guide to the LFA for information on how you can plan and execute this work.

http://www.icld.se/pdf/LFA_Guide_20160215.pdf We also promote our training programme, where the LFA methodology is a part and the programmes' core areas and development is explained. This training is open for all partnerships that are active within the programme.

Client Care (Servicecenter, kommunikationsenheten + biblioteken)

ICLD har nu fattat beslut i innevarande ansökningsomgång. Er ansökan **Client Care 2016-10-03 16:26:38, Tyresö kommun – Theewaterskloof Municipality, South Africa, dnr 2016-0059**, ärendetyp **Project**, kommer ej att beviljas medel i denna ansökningsomgång.

Beslutsmotivering: The ICLD considers the application to be interesting with a well elaborated stakeholder analysis and sufficient project objectives. The problem has good general reciprocity and connects to the core area Equity/inclusion in a sufficient manner. However, the main problem as defined in the application is insufficiently relevant to the programme as it is weak in addressing a lack of capacity at an organizational level.

Feedback: Thank you for reapplying in this round of applications with a more elaborated application. However, the central grounds for rejection from the previous application remains. This is also the case in the current application. The ICLD considers the application to be interesting with a well elaborated stakeholder analysis, the implementers as well as target groups are well selected and motivated. You have strengthened up the Swedish part of the stakeholder analysis in good way, the group of Swedish immigrants participating in SFI is certainly a relevant and important group. The main problem is clearly defined as a problem in communication with certain groups of citizens, the application defines the problem as a lack of equity/inclusion. In earlier feedback, it was mentioned that the application could more explicitly address development at an institutional level in the respective municipality and that the indicators showed that the actual change that will take place is rather implementation of decisions and changes already made like instrumental translation of information material into another language, these problems still exist in the proposal. A suggestion for a way forward is to redo the problem analysis and try to include the target group (The Xhosa) early in this process and to think of it as a lack of equity/inclusion in a broader sense. Use our guide to the LFA for information on how you can plan and execute this work.

http://www.icld.se/pdf/LFA_Guide_20160215.pdf We also promote our training programme, where the LFA methodology is a part and the programmes' core areas and development is explained. This training is open for all partnerships that are active within the programme.

