

SUBMITTED 24.02.2017 / E

PART A - Project summary

A.1 Project identification

Sub-programme	Central Baltic	
Programme priority	P3 Well-connected region	
Programme priority specific objective	3.2. Improved services of existing small ports to improve local and regional mobility and contribute to tourism development	
Project acronym	SEASTOP	
Project title	Modern ports in historic waters	
Project number	650	
Name of the lead partner organisation/original language	Värmdö Kommun	
Name of the lead partner organisation/English	Värmdö Municipality	
Project duration 36 months 0 days	Start date	2018-01-01
	End date	2020-12-31

A.2 Project summary

Please give a short overview of the project and describe - the common challenge of the programme area you are jointly tackling in your project; - the main overall objective of the project and the expected change your project will make to the current situation; - the main outputs you will produce and who will benefit from them; - the approach you plan to take and why is cross-border/transnational approach needed - what is new/original about it - It has to be written in the style of a press release. (ComGroup) - Transnational added value - Why do you work in a transnational setting? (ComGroup)

SEASTOP enables infrastructure investments of almost 4 million € in 21 small ports in the Stockholm/Åland/Turunmaa (SÅT) archipelago.

These investments will make the ports worthy tourist seastops with high service levels, as well as enhance the regional economy and mobility. Around 400 new mooring places alone, could lead to an increased total revenue of around 5 million € per year for the small ports.

The main common challenge to develop and broaden the maritime tourism by upgrade of the ports service levels and thus making them more attractive to visitors will be addressed by the various work packages. These are based on need assessment workshops carried out with all local port operators in a bottom-up process.

Priority is given to the most needed investments, taking into account the targets of the Central Baltic programme. The planned investments will lead to around 750 meters of new floating jetties, upgraded environmentally sustainable facilities, such as water, toilets, electricity, showers, saunas, boat toilet discharge and waste recycling etc.

The cross-border approach enables the members to develop joint marketing, joint upgraded standards of quality for port services and safety, to maintain the durability of all those services and train their personnel in the delivery.

SEASTOP relates to the SÅT archipelago islands long joint naval history. Some of the ports were seastops on "King Valdemars sailing route" in the 13th century. Some had a central role in the fish trade with traditional fish vessels, supplying Stockholm with fresh fish and others serviced the old postal routes.

SEASTOP engages 5 experienced and financially strong project partners and 21 existing small ports in the Stockholm/Åland/Turunmaa (SÅT) archipelago. Apart from the partners, Stockholm County, Stockholm County administrative board and the municipalities of Österåker and Norrtälje will support the project in a reference group.

Budget per partner

municipality of Värmdö: 2.713.000 € Town of Mariehamn: 272.400 € Enterprising Archipelago: 780.000 € Bromarv Village Council: 239.000 € Peterzéns Ltd: 254.800 €

A.3 Project budget summary

Programm Co Financing			Contribution					Total Budget
Funding Source	Amount	Co Financing Rate(%)	Public Co Financing			Private Contribution	Total Contribution	
			Autom Public Contrib	Other Public Contrib	Total Public Contrib			
ERDF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU Funds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ERDF Equivalent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Partner	Partner Abbreviation	Country	FUND	Co Financing Rate(%)	Of Total	Public Contribution	Private Contribution	Total Contribution	Total Eligible Budget	Partner Status
Värmdö Kommun	VK	SVERIGE	0.00	75.00 %	100.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Mariehamn stad	MAT	SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	75.00 %	100.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Företagsam skärgård	FS	SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	75.00 %	100.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Peterzéns OY	PZÉNS	SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	75.00 %	100.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	private
Bromarv Byråd rf.	BVC	SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	75.00 %	100.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Sub Total For Partners Outside			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total			0.00	0.00	400.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

PART B - Project partners

B.1 Project partner

Project partner 1

Partner Role In The Project	LP
Partner Name	Värmdö Kommun
Partner Name Engl	Värmdö Municipality
Abbreviation	VK
Department	Municipality Central administration
Nuts Id0	SE, SVERIGE
Nuts Id2	SE11, Stockholm
Nuts Id3	SE110, Stockholms län
Postalcode City	134 81 Gustavsberg
Street Streetnumber	Skogsbovägen 9 - 11
Home Page	www.varmdo.se
Proj Partner Assimilated	no
Vat Number	SE 212000-0035 01
Recover Vat	yes
Other National Identifying Number	
Type of Identifying Number	
Type of Partner	local public authority
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Contact Firstname	Lars
Contact Lastname	Fladvad
Contact Email	lars.fladvad@varmdo.se
Contact Telephone	+46-57047000
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Värmdö municipality is an organization responsible for governing all aspects of 40 000 inhabitants lives. VK has a total turnover of € 450 000 000 and 3000 employees. It has departments for all kind of activities including growth-enhancing measures, construction development and finance. Experts in procurement, construction permits, shore protection and other vital areas are available as well as a big project leading experience. The project management is directly subordinated the municipality General Manager.
Benefit	Nine of the prospective ports are located within the municipality area. It is an important objective for Värmdö municipality to keep a living archipelago. The upgraded service-level of the ports will support the local business, create better opportunities for the local inhabitants to live on remote islands and tourism development. This in turn will facilitate the potential for schools and stores on these small islands. This project application is prepared in cooperation with the municipalities of Österåker and Norrtälje as well as the county board of Stockholm (landstinget) and the county administrative board of Stockholm (länsstyrelsen) who all contribute to the co-funding. The targeted islands is in line with the strategic plan landstinget and länsstyrelsen have to support the most vulnerable islands in the archipelago.
Other International Projects	Värmdö municipality have experience from various big projects in various fields. Right now approximately 500 residentials are planned and carried out each year. The municipality is part of a number of national and international networks. The last EU-project was the ESF-project "Kom-An" in which Värmdö municipality was Lead partner.

B.2 Project partner

Project partner 2

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Mariehamn stad
Partner Name Engl	Mariehamn Town
Abbreviation	MAT
Department	
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI20, Åland
Nuts Id3	FI200, Åland
Postalcode City	22101 Mariehamn
Street Streetnumber	Torggatan 17
Home Page	www.mariehamn.ax
Assimilated Partner	no
Vat Numberr	0205071-4
Recover Vat	no
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	local public authority
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Contact Firstname	Terese
Contact Lastname	Flöjt
Contact Email	terese.flojt@mariehamn.ax
Contact Telephone	+358 185310
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Mariehamn is the maritime center of Åland with long traditions of port activities and maritime trade. There you will find historical maritime museums and maritime training for present needs. Mariehamn is the harbour town for all ports at Åland and a partner with various skills in running and planning ports.
Benefit	Mariehamn will improve the port facilities for small boats and benefit from increased tourist activity. This will lead to stronger economy and more possibilities to develop Mariehamn as a place to visit. The ports will increase the level of service with more boat moorings and shore facilities such as toilets, showers and land line electricity to the boats. Mariehamn as such will also benefit from the increased marketing and PR made with in the project.
Other International Projects	The Town of Mariehamn has expertise in EU-project management, financial management and control. As partner in the Seastop project it will manage the activities in the two ports at the Åland mainland. Previous EU-funded projects, PURE Project on Urban Reduction of Eutrophication, Harbour Tax Project Harmonization of Harbour fees, Integration.ax II a language project with focus on migration issues. BRS Innoship Propulsion systems for future ship technology for reduction of environmental impact.

Project partner 3

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Företagsam skärgård
Partner Name Engl	Enterprising Archipelago
Abbreviation	FS
Department	
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI20, Åland
Nuts Id3	FI200, Åland
Postalcode City	22700 SOTTUNGA
Street Streetnumber	Sottunga 1
Home Page	www.skargarden.ax
Assimilated Partner	no
Vat Numberr	FO 2107903-7
Recover Vat	no
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	interest groups including NGOs
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Contact Firstname	Kristian
Contact Lastname	Packalén
Contact Email	info@skargarden.ax
Contact Telephone	+358 407503550
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Enterprising Archipelago (företagsam skärgård) is a NGO established in 1996 by the six island municipalities. Its aim is to boost economic development and settlement in the around 40 inhabited islands in its area. As partner in the Seastop-project it act as an umbrella organisation for four small ports. During the past 20 years it has implemented some ten projects with ERDF or ESF-cofunding. It has a slim and flexible organisation and can draw upon the administrative resources of the island municipalities
Benefit	Enterprising Archipelagos main objective is to facilitate the development of the business sector in the Åland Islands archipelago as well as migration to the archipelago. SEASTOP is a very important project that give much more effect to the archipelago than investments alone, as the small ports are central to much of the commerce in the archipelago municipalities. In the long run, this can also help migration to the archipelago when some guests presumably can start thinking about moving to the archipelago. Participating in a collaboration project as SEASTOP will also be beneficial in the future as contact network and competence grows as well.
Other International Projects	Enterprising Archipelago is part of the European Small Islands Federation (ESIN), through which we have participated in for example SMILEGOV. We have also been part of a Central Baltic project called FLEX - Flexible Working Culture.

Project partner 4

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Peterzés OY
Partner Name Engl	Peterzés OY
Abbreviation	PZÉNS
Department	
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI1C, Etelä-Suomi
Nuts Id3	FI1C1, Varsinais-Suomi
Postalcode City	23360 Kustavi
Street Streetnumber	Iniöntie 461
Home Page	www.peterzens.fi
Assimilated Partner	no
Vat Numberr	04953992
Recover Vat	yes
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	SME
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Contact Firstname	Eerikki
Contact Lastname	Peterzés
Contact Email	eerikki@peterzens.fi
Contact Telephone	+358 503508345
Legal Status	private
Experiences	Over the past twenty years, Peterzens Ltd (PZÉNS) has developed and operated its well sheltered guest harbour located nearby the Archipelago Trail. The port offers full guest service, including grocery and design shop, children 's playground, nature trail and minigolf and is a popular local service centre at Kustavi. PZÉNS has long experience of developing customer services and is happy to share its expertise with the partners in the SEASTOP-project.
Benefit	In this project PZÉNS is planning to improve its service to meet the boaters demand and to participate in joint actions to develop the entire northern archipelago as a desirable resort among sailors and maritime tourist. PZÉNS sees the potential to draw upon our joint maritime history, including traditional trades and professions. We believe an investment in improved service and joint marketing of the whole Seastop-network will increase the customer flow, income and job opportunities for our local economies.
Other International Projects	Some ten years ago, PZÉNS participated in the cross-border culinary "Skärgårdssmak" project linking and marketing local restaurants in the archipelagos of Stockholm, Åland and Turku to international tourists. The project increased global awareness of local food quality among partners and visitors in the archipelago. Based on experience PZÉNS is ready to take a step further with focus on 21 port societies in the whole archipelago.

Project partner 5

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Bromarv Byaråd rf.
Partner Name Engl	Bomarv Village Council
Abbreviation	BVC
Department	
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI1B, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Nuts Id3	FI1B1, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Postalcode City	10570 Bromarv
Street Streetnumber	Bromarvägen 1853
Home Page	www.bromarf.fi
Assimilated Partner	no
Vat Numberr	1087271-8
Recover Vat	yes
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	interest groups including NGOs
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Contact Firstname	Mårten
Contact Lastname	Johansson
Contact Email	moejohansson52@gmail.com
Contact Telephone	+358 405017245
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Bromarv Village Council (BVC) is a joint cooperation board for all local interest groups in Bromarv. BVC started in 2016 in cooperation with the municipality of Raseborg a process in order to develop the small boat port, to promote investment and to create new jobs in Bromarv. Bromarv small boat port was established in 1989 and is the easternmost small boat port in the Turunmaa archipelago and in the project area. The council has engaged to two senior experts in the field of local and regional development as well as cross-border cooperation.
Benefit	BVC participates in this project in order to rise the service level significantly and together with the SEASTOP-partners develop and market tourist packages based on joint historical heritage along the historical route across the harbour of Turku, Åland and Stockholm. BVC is also interesting in joint developing of the service concept and quality of port service. We are looking for joint sustainable solutions that will strengthen the economic base in our island villages and increase prosperity in our Archipelago sea.
Other International Projects	Bromarv has once before participated in an Interreg project "Skärgårdsleden" 2000-2003 aimed at investigate better connection to Turku archipelago and in one ERDF/ESF (Objective 2-prgramme) co-funded project BBB promoting tourism in three villages Bromarv, Box and Barösund in 2000-2005. Bromarv hosted in 2014 the 350th anniversary event of the Rilax sea battle, which took place between the Russian and Swedish fleet in 1714. Bromarv will share its team of experts in the field of economic development and cross-border cooperation with the project partners to make SEASTOP successful.

B.3 Project partner

Project partner 6

Partner Name	Skärgårdsstiftelsen
Partner Name Engl	Stockholm archipelago Foundation
Nuts Id0	SE, SVERIGE
Nuts Id2	SE11, Stockholm
Nuts Id3	SE110, Stockholms län
Postalcode City	103 94 Stockholm
Street Streetnumber	Svensksundsvägen 5
Contact Firstname	Lennart
Contact Lastname	Segerman
Contact Email	lennart.segerman@skargardsstiftelsen.se
Contact Telephone	+46 812312400
Partners Role	Largest landowner in the Stockholm archipelago. The foundation will receive and spread information about the project to its target groups
Benefit For Project	The foundation receives information about the project, which they can use in their own facilities
Associated to partner	Värmdö Municipality

Project partner 7

Partner Name	Svenska Sjöräddningssällskapet
Partner Name Engl	Swedish sea rescue association
Nuts Id0	SE, SVERIGE
Nuts Id2	SE11, Stockholm
Nuts Id3	SE110, Stockholms län
Postalcode City	13043 Möja
Street Streetnumber	Möja bergs by 611
Contact Firstname	Per
Contact Lastname	Westerberg
Contact Email	per.westerberg@ssrs.se
Contact Telephone	+46 704927859
Partners Role	District coordinator north of Gotland. The AP will receive and spread information about the project to its target groups.
Benefit For Project	Rescue service for all port areas.
Associated to partner	Värmdö Municipality

Project partner 8

Partner Name	Stockholms Sjögård
Partner Name Engl	Stockholm maritime center
Nuts Id0	SE, SVERIGE
Nuts Id2	SE11, Stockholm
Nuts Id3	SE110, Stockholms län
Postalcode City	11525 Stockholm
Street Streetnumber	Blockhusringen 34
Contact Firstname	Gunar
Contact Lastname	Haeger
Contact Email	gunnar@haegerpartner.com
Contact Telephone	+46 704927859
Partners Role	Handles all maritime contacts in the Stockholm region. The AP will receive and spread information about the project to its target groups.
Benefit For Project	Information about the project ports and its historical aspect
Associated to partner	Värmdö Municipality

Project partner 9

Partner Name	Sveriges Segelfartygsförbund
Partner Name Engl	Swedish sailingship association
Nuts Id0	SE, SVERIGE
Nuts Id2	SE11, Stockholm
Nuts Id3	SE110, Stockholms län
Postalcode City	10420 Stockholm
Street Streetnumber	Box 8143
Contact Firstname	Lars
Contact Lastname	Palm
Contact Email	lars.palm@ssfb.se
Contact Telephone	+46 708783749
Partners Role	The foundation will receive and spread information about the project to its target groups
Benefit For Project	The association is responsible for keeping the sailing fleet in the water. With up-graded service levels in the SEASTOP ports, they can better be used by the sailing fleet.
Associated to partner	Värmdö Municipality

PART C - Project description**C.1 Project relevance**

C.1.1 What are the common territorial challenges that will be tackled by the project Please describe the relevance of your project for the programme area in terms of common challenges and/or joint assets addressed?

SEASTOP involves 21 existing small ports in the SÅT archipelago, with more than 30.000 islands, whereof only some 100 are year-round inhabited. Most of the inhabited small island are located in vulnerable and remote villages where the local economy, once based on merchant trade, fisheries, farming and public jobs has eroded and are suffering from out-migration due to lack of job opportunities.

The natural and cultural heritage of this huge archipelago area has been identified as a potential for maritime tourism. investments in marked seaways, piers, harbours, ferries and telecom network etc have been carried out. But as service levels in the small ports is lagging behind, the attractiveness for nautical tourism is not as high as it could be.

SEASTOP will address the gaps in service levels in small ports by offering support for the port operators to make priorities, produce plans and permits and make addition funding available to implement jointly formulated plans. Relevant additional resources from local and regional authorities to complement the investments from the Central Baltic programme, such as accommodation, café- and restaurantdevelopment, wastetreatment, boattoilet discharge, are also identified and mobilized.

The project will find joint solutions and joint public procurement for investments in floating jetties and other relevant extent. Joint training of the port staff will also be made in order to enhance the service level. Most of the investments will lead to environmental improvement.

As the ports participating in the project share a joint history of merchant and fish trade and are selected due to their location along old seaways and postal routes as well as smuggling and war events, this joint culture heritage will be made visible and tangible for visitors. By the year 2020 visitors will be able to make a Seastop in 21 modern ports in historic waters.

C.1.2 What is the project's approach in addressing these common challenges and/or joint assets and what is new about the approach the project takes? Please describe new solutions that will be developed during the project and/or existing solutions that will be adopted and implemented during the project lifetime and in what way the approach goes beyond existing practice in the sector/programme area/participating countries.

SEASTOP will result in 21 small ports with improved services along historic seaways, whereof 13 in the Stockholm archipelago, 6 in Åland and 2 in Finland.

The number of mooring places will increase by some 400 due to investments in approximately 750 meters new floating jetties. The service facilities on land (fresh water supply, shower, toilets, saunas etc) will be improved. Significant measures will be taken to reduce the environmental burden (water cleaning, emptying of sewage tanks, waste treatment etc). Electricity- and WiFi-supply will be extended. Joint efforts will be made to develop tourist packages presenting the historical heritage (fish corf tradition, old settlements and buildings, battlefields and wrecks) in every Seastop-harbour. Joint marketing will be accompanied by joint training in order to improve the safety and service quality.

The project plan is drafted in close cooperation between the port operators and partners. 9 of the ports are operated by port associations, 11 by local firms and one by a foundation. The measures are prioritized in each port and limited below the 200.000 € to comply with the "de minimis rule". Preliminary scrutiny of the investments by relevant authorities is made in order to a swift permit process. National/regional and local co-funding is secured.

A joint Web-based management tool is developed and ready to be taken into use. Horizontal Work Packages will be allocated for management, development of joint solutions and marketing. The investments in each port is planned as individual packages in order to ensure that proper resources will be allocated according to agreed plans and that agreed time schedules will be observed. The public procurement for bigger investments, such as floating jetties, will be carried out by the lead-partner. The partners have the resources available to ensure that the project will be implemented and investments made by June 2020.

C.1.3 Why is cross-border/transnational cooperation needed to achieve the project's objectives and result? Please explain why the project goals cannot be efficiently reached acting only on a national/regional/local level and/or describe what benefits the project partners/target groups/project area gain in taking a cross-border / transnational approach.

The challenge to overcome the service gaps in the 21 small ports along the historic seaways can only be managed through joint action and cooperation of all relevant local and regional resources across the area. This cross-border project will bring in the necessary skills and resources, which the individual port operators do not possess.

Värmdö kommun, being the largest island municipality (more than 10.000 islands and 40.000 inhabitants) in the whole project area, is Lead-partner. It's administration has prior experience of being lead partner in EU and other big projects and will also take the financial and management responsibility for the 13 Swedish port. Värmdö Kommun will also have support from Stockholm County, Stockholm County administrative board, and the municipalities of Norrtälje and Österåker.

Management of the work packages for the small ports in Åland is shared between two partners; Mariehamn city (two ports) and Enterprising Archipelago (four ports).

The city of Mariehamn, the maritime center of Åland, will take management and financial responsibility and contribute with various skills.

Enterprising Archipelago, established by six island municipalities, will draw on their administrative resources. It has twenty years of experience of implementing ERDF and ESF-projects and a slim organisation.

The two ports along the eastern part of the historical seaways, Peterzens (North of Turku) and Bromarv (North of Hanko) have the necessary financial and management resources to act as partners and implement their budget packages. Peterzens has a strategic location close to the Archipelago Trail. The port has been operated as a family company since 1980.

The village council Bromarv Byaråd rf. operates the easternmost port in the Turku archipelago in close cooperation with the municipality of Raseborg. The Finnish partners will pool their management resources in order to minimize cost.

C.1.4 Please select all cooperation criteria that apply to your project and describe how you will fulfil them. Cooperation criteria Please select all that applies to your project

Cooperation criteria	Description
Joint Development	During the pre- planning phase representatives from all project partners and contact persons from the different ports have been engaged in the planning and drafting process. After SEASTOP has been approved we plan regular meetings with all partners
Joint Implementation	Implementation will follow the project plan, coordinated by LP, a steering committee and country coordinators. Joint mooring solutions, public procurement for investments, marketing activities and quality standards for port service and training are sought.
Joint Staffing	SEASTOP have coordinators for the Finland/Åland and Swedish ports with horizontal responsibilities. LP will be responsible for and coordinate public procurement in large investments. Other partners will take other joint responsibilities.
Joint Financing	SEASTOPS partial funding will be proportional to the investments in the various ports in Sweden and in Finland/Åland.

C.2 Project focus

C.2.1 Project objectives, expected result and main outputs

Programme priority specific objective

Project main overall objective

What is the main overall objective of the project and how does it link to the programme's objective? Specify one project main objective and describe its contribution to the programme priority specific objective.

The infrastructure development in 21 island small ports, connected with a common historical background, will attract more tourist visitors. This enables the growth of local business and thus create more job possibilities for remote islands inhabitants. 21 small ports cooperation in the SEASTOP-project is planned to lead to common marketing activities focusing on transportation and the historical background and historic spots.

Programme result

Select one programme result indicator your project will contribute to.

R3.2. Share of Central Baltic small ports with good services

Project main result

What are the project's main results and how do they link to the programme result indicator? Specify your one or more project's main result and describe its contribution to the programme result indicator.

Project SEASTOP will result in 21 small ports in Sweden and Åland/Finland with an extensive increased service level and thus contributes to the increased share of Central Baltic small ports with good services. The ports are: Sweden, Värmdö municipality: Svartsö, Harö, Stavsudda, Lökholmen, Kyrkviken/berg, Löka, Möjaström, Långvik outer port, Långvik inner port Österåker municipality: Ingmarsö, Nässlinge, Husarö Norrtälje kommun: Rödlöga Åland Sjökvarteret, Bomarsund, Degerby, Sandvik Kökar, Lappo, Fiskö Finland: Bomarv, Peterzén

Project overall objectives

Which are the specific objectives the project will be working towards? Define max. 3 project specific objectives.

Title of specific objective	Please provide a short explanation on the defined specific objectives
------------------------------------	--

Project main outputs Overview table on project outputs as defined in the work plan

Programme output indicators (s)	Programme output indicator targets	Project Target Sum	Measurement Unit	Project main output quantification (target)	Project main output number	Project main output (title)
---------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------	------------------	---	----------------------------	-----------------------------

C.2.2 Target groups

Target group/-s	Please further specify the target group/s (e.g., bilingual elementary schools, environmental experts, etc.).	Target value Please indicate the size of the target group you will reach.
------------------------	---	--

C.2.3 Durability of project outputs and results

How does will the project ensure that project outputs and result/s have a lasting effect beyond project duration? Please describe concrete measures (including institutional structures, financial resources, etc.) taken during and after project implementation to ensure and/or strengthen the durability of the project's outputs and results. Explain how outputs will be further used once the project has been finalised and, if relevant, explain who will be responsible and/or who will be the owner of results and outputs.

no Answer given

C.2.4 Transferability of project outputs and results

How does will the project ensure that project outputs and results are applicable and replicable by other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership? Please describe to what extent it will be possible to transfer the outputs and results to other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership.

no Answer given

C.3 Project context

C.3.1 How does the project contribute wider strategies and policies? Please describe the project's contribution to relevant strategies and policies; in particular, those concerning the project or programme area.

Indicate if the project contributes to a macro-regional strategy and describe in what way.

Description

C.3.2 Synergies

What are the synergies with other past or current EU and other -projects or EU-initiatives the project makes use of?

no Answer given

C.3.3 Knowledge

How does the project make use of build on available knowledge?

Sweden, Åland and Finland

The partnership and the small ports in this project were identified along the historical naval routes, in particularly the King Valdemars sailing route, the fish trade routes with traditional fish corf vessels and the postal routes linking Stockholm, Åland and Turunmaa archipelagos.

In the first planning stage of the project (with the rules then applicable = minimum partners from 2 countries) only Sweden and Åland were involved. In november 2016 the partnership was expanded by 2 finnish partner ports along the same historic sea routes sharing the same project objectives.

As the planning of the project is made bottom-up by identifying service needs and priority lists in 21 ports, covering more than 200 improvements altogether, the partnership drafting group has come to the conclusion that it would not be manageable for the project to include further partners nor additional ports outside the defined routes.

The Lead-partner is Swedish and about 60% of the planned investment are directed towards Swedish ports.

C.4 Horizontal principles

Please indicate which type of contribution to horizontal principles applies to the project, and justify the choice.

Horizontal principles	Description of the contribution	Type of contribution
Sustainable development (environment)		neutral
Equal opportunity and non-discrimination		neutral
Equality between men and women		neutral
Low-carbon economy		neutral
Information and communication technologies		neutral

C.5 Work plan per work packages

Type: Management

WP Nr	WP title	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
1	Management	2018-01	2020-12	
Partners Involvement				
WP responsible partner		Värmdö Municipality		
<i>Describe how the management on the strategic and operational level will be carried out in the project, specifically: -structure, responsibilities and procedures for the day-to-day management and co-ordination;-communication within the partnership;-reporting and evaluation procedures;-risk and quality management-Indicate whether the management is foreseen to be externalised</i>				
<i>Please describe activities and deliverables within the work package</i>				
Activity 1.1		01.01.2018	31.12.2020	0.00

Type: Investment

WP Nr	WP title	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
2		2018-01	2020-12	
Partners Involvement				
WP responsible partner				
Description and objective of the work package				
Justification				
<p><i>Explain the need for investment to achieve project objectives and results. Describe clearly the cross-border relevance of the investment. Describe who is benefiting (e.g. partners, regions, end-users etc.) from this investment and in what way. In case of pilot investment, please clarify which problem it tackles, which findings you expect from it, how it can be replicated and how the experience coming from it will be used for the benefit of the programme area.</i></p>				
Location of the investment				
Location of the physical investment Drop-down menu (NUTS-ID: FI197)				
Risks associated with the investment				
Description of the risks associated with the investment, go/no-go decisions, etc. (if any)				
Investment documentation				
<p><i>Please list all technical requirements and permissions (e.g. building permits) required for the investment according to the respective national legislation. In case they are already available attach them to this application form, otherwise indicate when do you expect them to be available.</i></p>				
Ownership				
<p><i>Who owns the site where the investment is located? - Who will retain ownership of the investment at the end of the project? - Who will take care of maintenance of the investment? How will this be done?</i></p>				

Please describe project main outputs that will be delivered based on the activities carried out in this work package. For each project main output a programme output indicator should be chosen. Please note that they need to have the same measurement unit.

Project main output	Describe your project main output	Choose a programme indicator to which the project main output will contribute	Measurement unit	Quantify your distribution	Delivery Date
2.1					
		Number of developed and improved transport corridors and nodes	Number	\$number.format('#0.00', \$indicator.quantity)	2020-12

Target groups per main outputs				
Who will use the main outputs				
How will you involve target groups (and other stakeholders) in the development of the project main outputs?				
Durability and transferability of main outputs				
How will the project main outputs be further used once the project has been finalised? Please describe concrete measures (including eg institutional structures, financial sources etc) taken during and after project implementation to ensure the durability of the project main outputs. If relevant, please explain who will be responsible and/or the owner of the output. NB. Take note of rules governing ownership of outputs linked to investments (items of infrastructure) in line with Art 71 CPR.				
How will the project ensure that the project outputs are applicable and replicable by other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership? Please describe to what extent it will be possible to transfer the outputs to other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership.				
<i>Please describe activities and deliverables within the work package</i>				
Activity 2.1		01.01.2018	31.12.2020	0.00
Deliverable 2.1.1			0.00	31.12.2020

C.5.1 Periods

Period Number	Duration (month)	Start Date	End Date	Reporting Date
1	1	2018-01-01	2018-06-30	2018-06-30
2	1	2018-07-01	2018-12-31	2018-12-31
3	1	2019-01-01	2019-06-30	2019-06-30
4	1	2019-07-01	2019-12-31	2019-12-31
5	1	2020-01-01	2020-06-30	2020-06-30
6	1	2020-07-01	2020-12-31	2020-12-31

C.6 Activities outside the Union part of the programme area

<i>If applicable, please list activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) the programme area. Describe how these activities will benefit the programme area. What is the added value of activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) programme area? If applicable, please list the relevant activities and describe how they will benefit the programme area.</i>		
Total budget of activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) the programme area (indicative)		0.00
	(indicative)	0.00
	% of total (indicative)	---

C.7 Indicative time plan

section removed

PART D - Project Budget

D.1 Project budget per co-financing source (fund) - breakdown per partner

Partner		Programme Co-financing			Contribution			Total Elig
Partner Abbreviation	Country	ERDF	ERDF Co-Financing(percentage)	Percentage of Total ERDF	Public Contribution	Private Contribution	Total Contribution	
VK	SVERIGE	0,00	75,00 %	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	
MAT	SUOMI / FINLAND	0,00	75,00 %	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	
FS	SUOMI / FINLAND	0,00	75,00 %	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	
PZÉNS	SUOMI / FINLAND	0,00	75,00 %	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	
BVC	SUOMI / FINLAND	0,00	75,00 %	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Sub-total For Partners Inside		0,00	--	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Sub-total For Partners Outside		0,00	--	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Total		0,00	--	100,00 %	0,00	0,00	0,00	

D.2 Project budget - overview per partner/ per budget line

Partner Abbreviation	Co-financing Source	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accommodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Eligible
VK	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
MAT	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
FS	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
PZÉNS	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
BVC	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Total		0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Percentage Of Total Budget		100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 % Of Total Budget	100,00 % Total Eligible

Co-financing Source	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accommodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Sum Financed Budget	Decreasing Net Revenue	Total Final Budget
ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	

D.3 Project budget - overview per partner/ per period

Partner Abbreviation	Co-financing Source	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5	Period 6	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Eli
VK	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
IMAT	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
FS	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
PZÉNS	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
BVC	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Total		0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Percentage Of Total Budget		100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 % Of Total Budget	100,00 % Total

Co-financing Source	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5	Period 6	Total Financed E
ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

D.4 Project budget - overview per partner/ per WP

Partner Abbreviation	Co-financing Source	WP M	WP I1	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Eligible
VK	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
MAT	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
FS	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
PŽENS	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
BVC	ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Total		0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Percentage Of Total Budget		100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100 % Of Total Budget	100 % Of Total t

Co-financing Source	WP M	WP I1	Total Financed Budget
ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00

D.5 Project budget - overview per WP/ per period

WP Number	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accommodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Elig
WP M	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
WP I1	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Total	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
Percentage Of Total Budget	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 %	100,00 % Of Total Budget	100,00 % C

Co-financing Source	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accommodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Sum Financed Budget	Decreasing Net Revenue	Total Fina Budget
ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	

D.6 Project budget - overview per WP/ per budget line

WP Number	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5	Period 6
WP M	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
WP I1	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

Co-financing Source	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5	Period 6
ERDF	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Total EU Funds	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
ERDF Equivalent	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

D.7 In-kind contribution

Partner Abbreviation	Amount
VK	0,00
MAT	0,00
FS	0,00
PZÉNS	0,00
BVC	0,00
Total	0,00
Percentage Of Total Budget	100,00 %

Co-financing Source	Amount
ERDF	0,00
Total E U Funds	0,00

PART E - Partner Budget

Name of partner organisation	Värmdö Kommun
Partner ID	1
Legal status	public
Type of partner	local public authority
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	75.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Värmdö Kommun	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
Name of partner organisation	Mariehamn stad
Partner ID	2
Legal status	public
Type of partner	local public authority
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	75.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Mariehamn stad	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
Name of partner organisation	Företagsam skärgård
Partner ID	3
Legal status	public
Type of partner	interest groups including NGOs
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	75.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Företagsam skärgård	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00

sub-total private contribution	0.00	0.00
Total	100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value		0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
Name of partner organisation	Peterzéns OY
Partner ID	4
Legal status	private
Type of partner	SME
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	75.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Peterzéns OY	private	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
Name of partner organisation	Bromarv Byaråd rf.
Partner ID	5
Legal status	public
Type of partner	interest groups including NGOs
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	75.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Bromarv Byaråd rf.	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
Name of partner organisation	Skärgårdsstiftelsen
Partner ID	6
Legal status	public
Type of partner	local public authority
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	0.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Skärgårdsstiftelsen	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
Name of partner organisation	Svenska Sjöräddningssällskapet
Partner ID	7
Legal status	public
Type of partner	local public authority
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	0.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Svenska Sjöräddningssällskapet	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00

sub-total private contribution	0.00	0.00
Total	100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value		0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
Name of partner organisation	Stockholms Sjögård
Partner ID	8
Legal status	public
Type of partner	local public authority
Co-financing source	ERDF
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes

_4_0 Partner budget		
	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	0.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Stockholms Sjögård	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs

Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
---	----

Name of partner organisation	Sveriges Segelfartygsförbund
------------------------------	------------------------------

Partner ID	9
------------	---

Legal status	public
--------------	--------

Type of partner	local public authority
-----------------	------------------------

Co-financing source	ERDF
---------------------	------

Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	yes
--	-----

_4_0 Partner budget

	Amount	Co-financing Rate
Programme co-financing	0.00	0.00
Partner contribution	0.00	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	0.00	

_4_1 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)

Source of contribution	Legal status	% of total partner contribution	Amount
Sveriges Segelfartygsförbund	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			0.00

_4_2 In-kind contribution

Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	no
--	----

_4_3 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)

Staff costs

Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	no
--	----

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs

Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	no
---	----